## 1,5-Shift of Unsaturated Groups; Migratory Aptitudes of Substituted Vinyl Groups and Evidence for *Exo*-Selectivity

By Douglas J. Field and David W. Jones\*

(Department of Organic Chemistry, The University, Leeds LS2 9JT)

Summary For the 1,5-signatropic shift converting (1; X = E-substituent) into (2), migratory aptitude correlates with the resonance electron-accepting ability of the substituent X; Z-olefins migrate faster than their E-isomers, and (6) racemises faster than (7) in accord with migration via an exo-arrangement (4) rather than an endo-arrangement (5).

MARKED differences in the migratory aptitude of unsaturated groups in 1,5-sigmatropic shift (HCO  $\gg$  MeCO  $\gg$ CH=CH<sub>2</sub> or CO<sub>2</sub>Me)<sup>1,2</sup> can be explained in part by assuming transition state (TS) interaction between the  $\pi^*$ orbital of the migrating group and the HOMO of the diene system. For the migrating groups studied so far resonance, inductive, steric, bond-strength, and secondary interaction effects<sup>2</sup> may differ. To investigate variations of migratory aptitude with the electron-accepting ability of the migrating group while keeping other effects fairly constant we have studied racemisation of the *E*-vinyl substituted indenes (1).

These would be expected to racemise by 1,5-shift of the vinyl group to give the transient and symmetric isoindenes (2) which by 1,5-hydrogen shift would give the 2-vinylindenes (3). The required 1-vinylindenes were prepared from 1-formyl-1,3-dimethylindene3 by Wittig or Wadsworth-Emmons reactions coupled when necessary with other standard procedures.† On thermolysis at temperatures within the range used for the kinetic measurements (Table) the indenes (1) generally underwent clean conversion into the 2-vinylindenes (3).<sup>‡</sup> Racemisations of all the indenes followed good first-order kinetics. The activation parameters recorded (Table) agree with racemisation by concerted 1,5-vinyl shift to an isoindene (2) rather than dissociation to radicals or ions which recombine at C(1), C(2), and C(3) of the indene system. The observed conversion of Z-(1; X = Ph) into a mixture of Z- and E-(3; X = Ph) could be interpreted as due to the intervention of configurationally unstable vinyl radicals.<sup>4</sup> However, the independently measured rate of conversion of Z-(3; X =

† The Z- and E-olefins were separated by short-column chromatography on Kieselgel G (Merck) or Kieselgel G-silver nitrate (4:1). ‡ The E-isomer (1; X = Me) gave a mixture of E- and Z- (3; X = Me), and (1; X = H) gave a complex product mixture.

TABLE. Mate data for facentisations in diplicity evice.					
	Indene (1) X	Temperature range of measurements/°C	$10^5 k_{\rm rac}/{\rm s}^{-1}$ at stated temperatures (°C)	$\Delta S^{\ddagger}$ /cal K <sup>-1</sup> mol <sup>-1</sup>	$\Delta H^{\ddagger}/k$ cal mol <sup>-1</sup>
(i)	Н	210 - 240	0.157 (180), <sup>a</sup> 7.89 (230), 14.29 (240)	$-11.09 \pm 3.28$	$33 \cdot 85 \pm 1 \cdot 64$
(ii)	E-Me		4.62 (230)		
(iii)	$E ext{-Ph}$	200 - 230	$44.03(230), 12.06(210), 1.28(180)^{a}$	$-12.90 \pm 1.02$	$31 \cdot 15 \pm 0 \cdot 49$
(iv)	$E-C_6H_4NO_2-p$		40.78 (210)		
$(\mathbf{v})$	E-CHO	160-190	20.73 (180)	$-12.56 \pm 0.33$	$28.79 \pm 0.15$
(vi)	E-CO <sub>2</sub> Et	180 - 210	4.37(180)	$-10.75 \pm 0.38$	$31.02 \pm 0.18$
(vii)	Z-Me		21.93(230)		
(viii)	$Z ext{-Ph}$	180 - 210	6.28 (180), $56.90$ (210)	$-10.26 \pm 0.75$	$30 \cdot 91 \pm 0 \cdot 35$
(ix)	Z-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> NO <sub>2</sub> - $p$	160-190	17.12 (180)	$-13.22 \pm 0.53$	$28 \cdot 69 \pm 0 \cdot 24$
(x)	MeC=CH <sub>2</sub>		2.24(240)		

TABLE. Rate data for racemisations in diphenyl ether.

\* Value extrapolated using the given activation parameters.

Ph) into the *E*-isomer (n.m.r.) *quantitatively* accounts for the E-(3; X = Ph) present at several points during the thermolysis of Z-(1; X = Ph). The conversion of Z-(1; X = Ph) into (3; X = Ph) is therefore highly stereoselective in accord with the occurrence of concerted 1,5-vinyl migration.



 $2^{y} =$ secondary interaction.

The data (Table) show that electron-withdrawing groups increase the rate of vinyl migration; thus E-(1; X = CHO) racemises 132 times more rapidly than (1; X = H) at 180 °C, and a p-nitro group accelerates E- $\beta$ -styryl migration by *ca.* 3.4 [Table entries (iii) and (iv)]. On the other hand electron-supplying groups slow down migration. An Emethyl group [entry (ii)] slows vinyl shift by a factor of 0.58, and although accurate rate data were not obtainable we have observed that an E-methoxy- and an E-chlorosubstituent both retard vinyl migration. As expected in the light of these latter observations there was no correlation between the migratory aptitude of the E-(CH=CHX) group and the  $\sigma_1$  value of the group X. However migratory aptitude correlated well with the  $\sigma_{\rm B}^{-}$  value of X (correlation coefficient, r = 0.966), and even better with the rates of addition of morpholine to the olefins  $CH_2$ =CHX<sup>5</sup> (r = 0.976) (Figure). Correlation of migratory aptitude with the resonance electron-accepting ability of the group X supports the view<sup>2,6</sup> that more rapid rearrangement of unsaturated than saturated groups is, at least in part, associated with secondary interaction involving the  $\pi$ -system of the migrating group [see (4)]. However, this interaction does not appear to be associated with appreciable separation of charge;  $E_{-}(1)$ ; X = CHO) racemises only 2.38 times faster in dimethyl sulphoxide than in diphenyl ether, and whilst morpholine addition to  $CH_2$ =CHX is accelerated by ca. 10<sup>4</sup> in going from X = CONHMe to X = COPh, the same substituent change produces an increase of only 10<sup>1.25</sup> in the racemisation rate of E-(1) (Figure).



FIGURE. Plot of  $\log_{10} 10^5 k$  for racemisation of the indenes (1) at 180 °C (ordinate) against  $\log_{10} 10^4 k$  for addition of morpholine to the olefins CH<sub>2</sub>=CHX (ref. 5) (abscissa).

Comparison of racemisation rates for corresponding Eand Z olefins favours the suggestion that rearrangement preferentially involves an *exo*-arrangement of migrating  $\pi$ -system and the indene fragment (4) rather than an *endo*arrangement (5) which would be destabilised by repulsive secondary HOMO-LUMO interactions. The Table, entries (ii) and (vii), (iii) and (viii), and (iv) and (ix) show that Z-olefins racemise  $4 \cdot 2 - 4 \cdot 9$  times faster than their Ecounterparts. Steric destabilisation of the endo-TS would be expected to be greater for the Z than the E-olefins and lead to more rapid rearrangement of the latter. On the other hand in going to an exo-TS a Z-olefin would be expected to experience the greater decrease in steric interaction and so rearrange more rapidly than its *E*-isomer as observed. Comparison of entries (iii) and (iv) and (viii) and (ix) shows that a p-nitro group is only slightly less effective

at promoting migration of the Z-styryl group (rate increase 2.7) than the E-styryl group (rate increase 3.4). This suggests comparable conjugation of the nitro and aryl groups and the double bond at the TS's for migration of Zand E-styryl groups. Whilst this is possible for an exo-TS, steric factors would be expected severely to curtail such conjugation in the endo-TS of Z-styryl migration. Further evidence on this point was obtained by synthesis of the olefins (6) and (7) in optically active form; at 250 °C (6) constrained to migrate via an exo-TS racemised 49.4 times faster than (7) which must rearrange via an endo-TS.

(Received, 30th June 1977; Com. 658.)

- <sup>1</sup> J. Schless and T. Fullschning, *Perturbation Letters*, 1612, 9126, 872,
  <sup>2</sup> D. J. Field, D. W. Jones, and G. Kneen, *J.C.S. Chem. Comm.*, 1976, 873.
  <sup>3</sup> D. J. Field, D. W. Jones, and G. Kneen, *J.C.S. Chem. Comm.*, 1975, 754.
  <sup>4</sup> Cf. V. Rautenstrauch, G. Buchi, and H. Wüest, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, 1974, 96, 2576.
  <sup>5</sup> H. Shenev, Z. Rappoport, and S. Patai, *J. Chem. Soc.* (B), 1970, 469.
  <sup>6</sup> H. F. Semmelhack, H. N. Weller, and J. S. Foas, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, 1977, 99, 293.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> P. Schiess and P. Funfschilling, Tetrahedron Letters, 1972, 5195.